

IEM's AI Modeling: Short-term COVID-19 Projections

Date: 3/15/21

Leveraging over 15 years of support to HHS for medical consequence modeling and our proprietary artificial intelligence (AI) models, IEM believes that our Coronavirus model outputs can be used to assist localities and their medical facilities to better prepare for an increase in hospitalizations, to better plan for and locate drive-through testing facilities, and to determine where increased levels of transmission may be occurring.

We have been refining our AI model over the past month and are confident in its ability to provide accurate 7-day projections that can be used for operational and logistical planning.

AI-based Model Background

IEM is currently using an AI model to fit data from various sources and project new cases of COVID-19. We do not assume the average number of secondary infections (R-value) stays the same over time. IEM's AI model finds the best R-value over time to evaluate how it changes over the course of the outbreak. The IEM modeling team is running ~11 million simulations to fit each state's data and using the best fit for the R-value to project new cases over the next 7 days. The AI models are executed on a daily basis to evaluate the changing dynamics of the COVID-19 pandemic. Our projections have typically been within 10%, and are often within 5%, of actual confirmed cases.

The projections shown in this document are based on data pulled in as of 3/15/21 9 a.m.

Please provide any feedback or send any questions that you might have to us. We are continually updating and improving the model, so your feedback is critical.

Also, if you have more current or refined data for your State, Commonwealth or Territory that you would like IEM to factor in, please let us know.


IEM's Modeling Lead

Dr. Prasith "Sid" Baccam is a **Computational Epidemiologist expert** at IEM with more than **20 years of experience in medical consequence modeling and simulation of disease outbreaks** and medical consequences following hypothetical attacks with biological agents or emerging infectious diseases. He develops key simulation models and decision support tools at IEM, specializing in public health, disaster response, and medical countermeasures (MCM) to enhance data-driven decision making and improve modeling assumptions.

Upon receiving his **Ph.D. in Applied Mathematics and Immunobiology** at Iowa State University, Dr. Baccam worked as a Postdoctoral Research Associate at Los Alamos National Laboratory where he focused on researching viral and immunological modeling. After his stint at Los Alamos, Dr. Baccam has served as Task Lead in multiple public health projects have allowed him to develop expertise as a mathematical biologist and a leader on high-performance modeling and simulation teams.

He has worked with state and local public health officials as well as Federal agencies, including **HHS**, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (**CDC**), and the Department of Homeland Security (**DHS**). Dr. Baccam has published numerous papers on public health response models and implications on policy and has been invited to participate in workshops and symposiums held by the Institute of Medicine (now the National Academy of Health). His modeling results have been briefed to the **Executive Office of the President** and informed two presidential policy actions.

South Carolina State Projections



	Actual Confirmed Cases On:				Projected Cases For:						
	3/11	3/12	3/13	3/14	3/15	3/16	3/17	3/18	3/19	3/20	3/21
South Carolina	529,392	530,880	532,549	533,421	534,377	535,311	536,197	537,045	537,891	538,742	539,542

Note: The State's projection shows a "best estimate" curve (the solid line with circles) and the dotted lines are the upper and lower estimates around that best estimate. Our projections have typically been within 10%, and are often within 5%, of actual confirmed cases.

South Carolina Counties

	Actual Confirmed Cases On:				Projected Cases For:						
	3/11	3/12	3/13	3/14	3/15	3/16	3/17	3/18	3/19	3/20	3/21
Beaufort	15,790	15,816	15,870	15,891	15,917	15,942	15,965	15,989	16,012	16,034	16,056
Charleston	38,977	39,069	39,165	39,244	39,312	39,378	39,443	39,508	39,571	39,633	39,693
Greenville	65,669	65,923	66,067	66,214	66,362	66,512	66,653	66,799	66,944	67,077	67,216
Kershaw	6,814	6,839	6,853	6,857	6,864	6,871	6,877	6,883	6,888	6,893	6,898
Lexington	30,461	30,538	30,643	30,664	30,703	30,739	30,773	30,805	30,837	30,866	30,894
Richland	42,204	42,341	42,489	42,555	42,618	42,681	42,743	42,801	42,858	42,912	42,964
Spartanburg	36,930	37,030	37,119	37,186	37,264	37,339	37,411	37,486	37,555	37,619	37,687
York	27,265	27,382	27,535	27,559	27,606	27,652	27,694	27,736	27,775	27,814	27,850

Some recipients of our daily COVID-19 short-term (7 day) projections have requested projections of demand for: hospital bed, intensive care unit (ICU) beds, and mechanical ventilation. We realize that different states and localities will have different characteristics for hospital demand of COVID-19 cases, and we are presenting the best assumptions we could find for those medical demands based on scientific literature and health data reporting. Specifically:

- **Beds:** For hospitalization, we use a range of 10% and 20% of cases require hospitalization based on CDC's report ([MMWR, March 18, 2020](#)) and state reports of COVID-19 cases.
- **ICU:** The CDC report found that 24% of hospitalized cases require ICU care.
- **Ventilators:** Based on clinical data from China and state reports, we assume that 50% of ICU cases require a ventilator.

If you have other estimates for these assumptions, please share them with us as we work to refine our modeling, assumptions, and data on a daily basis.

The medical demands shown in the table assume 20% of **cumulative** confirmed cases require hospitalization. To get the medical demand for the assumption that 10% of confirmed cases require hospitalization, simply divide the demand by 2.

South Carolina Medical Demands by County

	Actual Confirmed Cases On:				Projected Cases (Hospitalized) [ICU] {Ventilator} For:											
	3/11	3/12	3/13	3/14	3/16			3/18			3/20					
Beaufort	15,790	15,816	15,870	15,891	15,942	(3,188)	[765]	{383}	15,989	(3,198)	[767]	{384}	16,034	(3,207)	[770]	{385}
Charleston	38,977	39,069	39,165	39,244	39,378	(7,876)	[1,890]	{945}	39,508	(7,902)	[1,896]	{948}	39,633	(7,927)	[1,902]	{951}
Greenville	65,669	65,923	66,067	66,214	66,512	(13,302)	[3,193]	{1,596}	66,799	(13,360)	[3,206]	{1,603}	67,077	(13,415)	[3,220]	{1,610}
Kershaw	6,814	6,839	6,853	6,857	6,871	(1,374)	[330]	{165}	6,883	(1,377)	[330]	{165}	6,893	(1,379)	[331]	{165}
Lexington	30,461	30,538	30,643	30,664	30,739	(6,148)	[1,475]	{738}	30,805	(6,161)	[1,479]	{739}	30,866	(6,173)	[1,482]	{741}
Richland	42,204	42,341	42,489	42,555	42,681	(8,536)	[2,049]	{1,024}	42,801	(8,560)	[2,054]	{1,027}	42,912	(8,582)	[2,060]	{1,030}
Spartanburg	36,930	37,030	37,119	37,186	37,339	(7,468)	[1,792]	{896}	37,486	(7,497)	[1,799]	{900}	37,619	(7,524)	[1,806]	{903}
York	27,265	27,382	27,535	27,559	27,652	(5,530)	[1,327]	{664}	27,736	(5,547)	[1,331]	{666}	27,814	(5,563)	[1,335]	{668}

For additional information from IEM, please contact Bryan Koon, Vice President of Emergency Management and Homeland Security at bryan.koon@iem.com or 850-519-7966 or Stephanie Tennyson at stephanie.tennyson@iem.com or 202-309-4257.