IEM's AI Modeling: Short-term COVID-19 Projections
Date: 1/6/21

Leveraging over 15 years of support to HHS for medical consequence modeling and our proprietary artificial intelligence (AI) models, IEM believes that our Coronavirus model outputs can be used to assist localities and their medical facilities to better prepare for an increase in hospitalizations, to better plan for and locate drive-through testing facilities, and to determine where increased levels of transmission may be occurring.

We have been refining our AI model over the past month and are confident in its ability to provide accurate 7-day projections that can be used for operational and logistical planning.

AI-based Model Background

IEM is currently using an AI model to fit data from various sources and project new cases of COVID-19. We do <u>not</u> assume the average number of secondary infections (R-value) stays the same over time. IEM's AI model finds the best R-value over time to evaluate how it changes over the course of the outbreak. The IEM modeling team is running ~11 million simulations to fit each state's data and using the best fit for the R-value to project new cases over the next 7 days. The AI models are executed on a daily basis to evaluate the changing dynamics of the COVID-19 pandemic. Our projections have typically been within 10%, and are often within 5%, of actual confirmed cases.

The projections shown in this document are based on data pulled in as of 1/6/21 9 a.m.

Please provide any feedback or send any questions that you might have to us. We are continually updating and improving the model, so your feedback is critical.

Also, if you have more current or refined data for your State, Commonwealth or Territory that you would like IEM to factor in, please let us know.

IEM's Modeling Lead

Dr. Prasith "Sid" Baccam is a **Computational Epidemiologist expert** at IEM with more than **20 years of experience in medical consequence modeling and simulation of disease outbreaks** and medical consequences following hypothetical attacks with biological agents or emerging infectious diseases. He develops key simulation models and decision support tools at IEM, specializing in public health, disaster response, and medical countermeasures (MCM) to enhance data-driven decision making and improve modeling assumptions.

Upon receiving his **Ph.D. in Applied Mathematics and Immunobiology** at Iowa State University, Dr. Baccam worked as a Postdoctoral Research Associate at Los Alamos National Laboratory where he focused on researching viral and immunological modeling. After his stint at Los Alamos, Dr. Baccam has served as Task Lead in multiple public health projects have allowed him to develop expertise as a mathematical biologist and a leader on high-performance modeling and simulation teams.

He has worked with state and local public health officials as well as Federal agencies, including **HHS**, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (**CDC**), and the Department of Homeland Security (**DHS**). Dr. Baccam has published numerous papers on public health response models and implications on policy and has been invited to participate in workshops and symposiums held by the Institute of Medicine (now the National Academy of Health). His modeling results have been briefed to the **Executive Office of the President** and informed two presidential policy actions.

South Carolina State Projections

	Actual Confirmed Cases On:				Projected Cases For:						
	1/2	1/3	1/4	1/5	1/6	1/7	1/8	1/9	1/10	1/11	1/12
South Carolina	312,718	321,669	325,472	328,073	331,665	335,311	339,020	342,836	346,680	350,512	354,334

Note: The State's projection shows a "best estimate" curve (the solid line with circles) and the dotted lines are the upper and lower estimates around that best estimate. Our projections have typically been within 10%, and are often within 5%, of actual confirmed cases.

South Carolina Counties

	Actual Confirmed Cases On:				Projected Cases For:						
	1/2	1/3	1/4	1/5	1/6	1/7	1/8	1/9	1/10	1/11	1/12
Beaufort	9,743	10,028	10,082	10,114	10,220	10,328	10,435	10,548	10,656	10,764	10,878
Charleston	24,480	24,886	25,041	25,145	25,314	25,492	25,671	25,852	26,040	26,224	26,422
Greenville	38,238	39,777	40,362	40,778	41,345	41,937	42,541	43,148	43,731	44,320	44,978
Kershaw	3,947	4,050	4,076	4,130	4,175	4,221	4,268	4,317	4,367	4,417	4,469
Lexington	17,042	17,480	17,744	17,917	18,140	18,363	18,604	18,844	19,100	19,344	19,588
Richland	26,611	27,127	27,442	27,568	27,795	28,022	28,254	28,490	28,739	28,982	29,225
Spartanburg	20,032	20,769	21,173	21,315	21,564	21,816	22,072	22,327	22,596	22,863	23,137
York	15,625	16,149	16,288	16,436	16,644	16,853	17,070	17,282	17,502	17,720	17,952

Some recipients of our daily COVID-19 short-term (7 day) projections have requested projections of demand for: hospital bed, intensive care unit (ICU) beds, and mechanical ventilation. We realize that different states and localities will have different characteristics for hospital demand of COVID-19 cases, and we are presenting the best assumptions we could find for those medical demands based on scientific literature and health data reporting. Specifically:

- *Beds: For hospitalization, we use a range of 10% and 20% of cases require hospitalization based on CDC's report ([MMWR, March 18, 2020](#)) and state reports of COVID-19 cases.*
- *ICU: The CDC report found that 24% of hospitalized cases require ICU care.*
- *Ventilators: Based on clinical data from China and state reports, we assume that 50% of ICU cases require a ventilator.*

If you have other estimates for these assumptions, please share them with us as we work to refine our modeling, assumptions, and data on a daily basis.

*The medical demands shown in the table assume 20% of **cumulative** confirmed cases require hospitalization. To get the medical demand for the assumption that 10% of confirmed cases require hospitalization, simply divide the demand by 2.*

South Carolina Medical Demands by County

| | Actual Confirmed Cases On: | | | | Projected Cases (Hospitalized) [ICU] {Ventilator} For: | | |
	1/2	1/3	1/4	1/5	1/7	1/9	1/11
Beaufort	9,743	10,028	10,082	10,114	10,328 (2,066) [496] {248}	10,548 (2,110) [506] {253}	10,764 (2,153) [517] {258}
Charleston	24,480	24,886	25,041	25,145	25,492 (5,098) [1,224] {612}	25,852 (5,170) [1,241] {620}	26,224 (5,245) [1,259] {629}
Greenville	38,238	39,777	40,362	40,778	41,937 (8,387) [2,013] {1,006}	43,148 (8,630) [2,071] {1,036}	44,320 (8,864) [2,127] {1,064}
Kershaw	3,947	4,050	4,076	4,130	4,221 (844) [203] {101}	4,317 (863) [207] {104}	4,417 (883) [212] {106}
Lexington	17,042	17,480	17,744	17,917	18,363 (3,673) [881] {441}	18,844 (3,769) [904] {452}	19,344 (3,869) [928] {464}
Richland	26,611	27,127	27,442	27,568	28,022 (5,604) [1,345] {673}	28,490 (5,698) [1,368] {684}	28,982 (5,796) [1,391] {696}
Spartanburg	20,032	20,769	21,173	21,315	21,816 (4,363) [1,047] {524}	22,327 (4,465) [1,072] {536}	22,863 (4,573) [1,097] {549}
York	15,625	16,149	16,288	16,436	16,853 (3,371) [809] {404}	17,282 (3,456) [830] {415}	17,720 (3,544) [851] {425}

For additional information from IEM, please contact Bryan Koon, Vice President of Emergency Management and Homeland Security at bryan.koon@iem.com or 850-519-7966 or Stephanie Tennyson at stephanie.tennyson@iem.com or 202-309-4257.